



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.       | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/656,583   | 09/05/2003  | Michael Baensch      | 22644                     | 7930             |
| 535  | 7590        | 09/06/2005           |                           |                  |
| THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS<br>5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE<br>PO BOX 900<br>RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>SUHOL, DMITRY |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>3725          | PAPER NUMBER     |
| DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005  |             |                      |                           |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/656,583

Applicant(s)

BAENSCH, MICHAEL

Examiner

Dmitry Suhol

Art Unit

3725

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/2003.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rehag et al '369. Rehag discloses a drive for reciprocating a roll stand containing all of the claimed elements including, with reference to claim 1, a crank (figures 6-7, part of crank drive K, see col. 4, lines 33-34), a tie rod (rod 5), a compensating weight (weight A, figures 6-7), a counter weight offset along the weight plane from the crank (weight B2, figures 6-7), a drive means connecting the counterweight to the crank (motor as described in col. 3, lines 14-15 and associated gearing 10, 11 and 12). Shafts carrying the compensating weight and counterweight and gearing, as required by claim 2, are shown in figure 6 as shafts 7-9 and gearing 10-12.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Rehag et al '369 in view of Baensch et al '076. Rehag discloses all of the claimed elements as stated above but for the specific layout of a drive shaft and associated gearing meshing with the gearing of the shaft carrying the counterweight as required by claim 3 and the drive means being offset from the planes as required by claim 9.

Baensch is relied upon to teach that the layout, as claimed in claims 3 and 9, for a device like that of Rehag is well known in the art (drive shaft 15a and 15b of motor 12 including a gear 18 meshing with gear 22 of the counterweight 20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the claimed invention, to have arranged the motor and associated drive shaft with a gear meshing with the gear 12 of the counterweight in the device of Rehag for the purpose of driving the device in an efficient and effective manner where the tubes produced may be easily borne away.

Regarding the limitations of claims 4-6, Rehag encompasses all of the claimed limitations as shown in figures 6 and 7.

Regarding claims 7-8, the embodiment shown in figures 8 and 9 encompasses all of the claimed limitations.

Regarding claim 10, figure 6 shown the gears unitarily formed with the respective weights as claimed.

The limitations of claims 11-12 are disclosed in col. 2, lines 39-42.

Regarding the limitations of claim 13, it would have been obvious to utilize pins with passageways for supporting the crank shaft in order to supply lubrication to the


various crank drive parts to reduce wear and tear through friction, since the examiner takes official notice that such pins are known in the art to be used for expressly such a purpose.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Dmitry Suhol  
Examiner  
Art Unit 3725